

Beyond the Game: The Theoretical Exploration of Gamification in Foreign Language Teaching

Nguyen Thi La 

National Academy of Education Management (NAEM),
Vietnam.

Abstract

Increasingly common in education, gamification is the use of game-like features in non-game environments to foster involvement. Particularly for underperforming students, this paper investigates how gamification increases motivation, lowers anxiety, and promotes inclusivity in foreign language education. Through a qualitative analysis of literature from 2010 to 2024, the findings show that gamified activities can enhance learner engagement, foster self-efficacy, and create supportive learning environments. Nonetheless, challenges such as over-reliance on extrinsic rewards, digital divide, and cognitive overload highlight the need for careful design. The paper underscores the educational potential of gamification while calling for further research to ensure sustainable, learner-centered implementation.

Keywords: gamification, foreign language teaching, motivation, inclusivity, self-efficacy, language anxiety

Background of the Study

In the digital era, a fascinating educational tool has been the inclusion of game-design components into non-game settings. Gamification, as Deterding et al. (2011) define, aims to use the motivational affordances of games to boost user involvement in daily tasks, including education. Gamification offers a

E-mail: tobe31082@gmail.com

promising complement to conventional approaches in foreign language education, where students may experience demotivation, performance anxiety, and memory challenges (Pinto et al., 2021). Though traditional classroom teaching may be effective for high-achieving students, it is no longer capable of fulfilling the varied needs of learners, especially underperforming students (Hu, 2024). Often struggling with grammar acquisition, vocabulary retention, and low confidence, these students hinder their academic progress (Thuan, 2023).

While gamification in language learning has been widely explored, several important questions remain. First, there is a limited understanding of how gamification works in practice to boost motivation and reduce language anxiety, particularly for learners who struggle with confidence and engagement. Second, the potential of personalized game elements to strengthen self-efficacy and sustain active participation in the classroom has not been thoroughly examined. Third, while gamification is often praised for its engaging nature, its role in fostering inclusivity, ensuring that learners with different styles, needs, and proficiency levels have equal opportunities to participate and succeed, has yet to be explored in depth. By focusing on these areas, this study aims to provide a more precise and more practical understanding that carefully considered use of gamified language learning changes the classroom into a vibrant, learner-centered environment.

Methodology

This paper employs a qualitative literature review methodology, synthesizing findings from peer-reviewed journal articles, case studies, and meta-analyses published between 2010 and 2024 from reputable academic databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science.

The 2010–2024 timeframe was chosen because gamification began to attract substantial scholarly attention in education around 2010. The selected studies were located in digital education, applied linguistics, and educational psychology databases, using key terms such as “gamification and language learning”, “gamified learning and foreign language teaching”, “game-based learning and EFL/ESL”, and “gamification and motivation/anxiety/self-efficacy”.

The inclusion criteria required that studies focus on gamification in foreign language teaching and learning, address psychological factors, including motivation, language anxiety, self-efficacy, or pedagogical considerations, and be peer-reviewed. Studies were excluded if they focused solely on non-educational gaming, lacked scholarly analysis, or were not written in English or Vietnamese.

Findings and Discussion

Gamification – A Catalyst for Motivation in Language Learning

Language development depends on motivation, influencing students' tenacity and work in acquiring new language tools. Deci and Ryan's (2000) Self-Determination Theory holds that motivation develops when students feel autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Gamified systems are particularly well qualified to satisfy these criteria. For example, while customizable avatars and peer competition encourage independence and connection, points and badges strengthen competence (Kao et al., 2018). From a teaching perspective, educators can strategically integrate these mechanics into lesson plans by setting incremental goals, using leaderboards to foster friendly competition, or designing thematic quests that align with curriculum objectives. In some digital platforms like Duolingo and Memrise, which show how gamification increases motivation, students do brief, engaging activities, get immediate feedback, and earn virtual reward components that promote a feeling of accomplishment and development (Dichev et al., 2015; Munday, 2016). For underperforming students who might find it challenging to remain motivated through conventional drills or textbook exercises, teachers can redesign tasks into game-like challenges to sustain engagement throughout the learning process.

Addressing Language Learning Anxiety through Game-Based Strategies

Language anxiety is a barrier to language learning, especially in speaking and writing (Horwitz et al., 1986). Students' fears of being judged or making mistakes often lead them to avoid practicing, stalling their fluency development. Learners can practice trying out different words and techniques without the risk of being ridiculed in gamified learning environments, which are much more supportive. Several researchers have pointed towards the existence of a positive correlation between gamification and reduced performance anxiety due to the repeated exposure, safe opportunities provided, and positive feedback (Judkins, 2018; Reinhardt & Sykes, 2014; Vallejo Balduque, 2018). Therefore, instructors can implement role-play simulations, timed word challenges, or collaborative storytelling games where learners contribute without fear of public embarrassment. Teachers also play a crucial role in framing errors as part of the learning journey, using gamified feedback loops to normalize risk-taking in language use.

Enhancing Self-Efficacy through Personalized Gamified Learning

Personalization is another advantage of gamified learning. Several platforms incorporate algorithms to modify content difficulty based on the learner's level, making sure that the content is appropriately challenging. Self-adaptive learning supports the self-efficacy belief of one's ability to succeed, which is particularly important for low-performing students (Locke, 1997). For example, in a traditional classroom setting, feeling disheartened and detached from the learning process is very common for learners who are unable to apply their skills and knowledge. In a gamified system, however, every little win, whether it is getting past a level or getting new content, enables teachers to celebrate incremental progress, thereby reinforcing their students' feelings of mastery. As noted by Laksanasut (2025), the continuous feedback and goal-oriented structure characteristic of the gamified environment build learners' confidence over time, which encourages greater persistence and resilience.

Promoting Inclusive and Engaging Learning Environments

In addition, inclusivity is fostered through countless avenues of achievement. Students from diverse learning paradigms, such as visual, auditory, and kinesthetic, can take advantage of the gamified tasks as noted by Yan et al. (2022). A student who identifies as a "Visual learner" can do image-based vocabulary games, while a student who identifies as an "Auditory learner" can do listening-based challenges. Furthermore, teachers can create mixed-modality gamified tasks, facilitate team-based competitions to encourage collaboration, and assign rotating roles to ensure every student contributes. This equity framework mitigates the performance divide while ensuring that all students have access to equal opportunities for learning, especially students who need the most support in traditional educational environments.

Challenges and Considerations

Despite its many pedagogical benefits, gamification has some concerns in foreign language education. One major issue is the reliance on extrinsic motivation, which could lower the learners' intrinsic motivation, causing disengagement with content at a lower level (Corpus & Wormington, 2014). In addition, implementing gamified elements, including lesson planning, instructional technology, and teaching expertise, is time-consuming (Baalsrud Hauge et al., 2015). This may be professionally unmanageable for some teachers who

lack training or institutional support. Digital inequality also emerges wherein unequal access to technology can unfairly disadvantage some learners, particularly those from underserved backgrounds (Tan et al., 2023).

Additionally, mismanaged gamified activities may lead to cognitive overload or distract from learning goals to unwanted tasks. Moreover, prior cultural attitudes, along with personal preferences towards learning, are likely to affect the degree of support and success, as some students may deem a game-based approach as trivial or unwarranted in academic settings. Therefore, effective gamification should result from careful planning, guided implementation, and clear justification to maintain credibility while balancing universality and diversity.

Conclusion

Gamification holds significant promise for revolutionizing foreign language education. By enhancing motivation, reducing anxiety, and personalizing learning experiences, gamified approaches create a more inclusive and engaging environment for all learners, especially those who struggle in traditional classrooms. As supported by the literature, gamification can bridge the gap between underperforming and high-achieving students by making language learning more accessible, enjoyable, and effective.

Educators and researchers should continue to explore and refine gamified methods, ensuring they serve diverse student populations. With thoughtful design and implementation, gamification can go beyond the game to truly revolutionize the way we teach and learn languages.

This article is based solely on English- and Vietnamese-language sources and lacks empirical data. As a theoretical review, its findings may not fully capture the nuances of different cultural or institutional contexts. To provide a more comprehensive and context-sensitive understanding of gamification in language education, future research should integrate empirical studies and multilingual sources.

References

- Baalsrud Hauge, J. M., Stănescu, I. A., Carvalho, M. B., Stefan, A., Banica, M., & Lim, T. (2015, August). Integrating gamification in mechanical engineering systems to support knowledge processes. In *International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference* (Vol. 57052, p. V01BT02A015). American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

- Corpus, J. H., & Wormington, S. V. (2014). Profiles of intrinsic and extrinsic motivations in elementary school: A longitudinal analysis. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 82(4), 480–501. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2013.876225>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining “gamification”. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference* (pp. 9–15). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040>
- Dichev, C., Dicheva, D., Angelova, G., & Agre, G. (2015). From gamification to gameful design and gameful experience in learning. *Cybernetics and Information Technologies*, 14(4), 80–100. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cait-2014-0007>
- Horwitz, E. K., Horwitz, M. B., & Cope, J. (1986). Foreign language classroom anxiety. *The Modern Language Journal*, 70(2), 125–132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1986.tb05256.x>
- Hu, J. (2024). The challenge of traditional teaching approach: A study on the path to improve classroom teaching effectiveness based on secondary school students’ psychology. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*, 50(1), 213–219. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/50/20240945>
- Judkins, B. N. (2018). *The Effect of Gamification on Anxiety and Motivation in Algebra* (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
- Kao, D., & Harrell, D. F. (2018, April). The effects of badges and avatar identification on play and making in educational games. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–19).
- Laksanasut, S. (2025). Gamification in ESL/EFL education: Transforming language learning and teaching through play. *TESOL and Technology Studies*, 6(1), 16–29. <https://doi.org/10.48185/tts.v6i1.1562>
- Locke, E. A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. *Personnel Psychology*, 50(3), 801.
- Munday, P. (2016). The case for using Duolingo as part of the language classroom experience. *RIED: Revista Iberoamericana de Educación a Distancia*, 19(1), 83–101. <https://doi.org/10.5944/ried.19.1.14581>
- Pinto, R. D., Peixoto, B., Melo, M., Cabral, L., & Bessa, M. (2021). Foreign language learning gamification using virtual reality—A systematic review of empirical research. *Education Sciences*, 11(5), 222. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11050222>

- Reinhardt, J., & Sykes, J. (2014). Special issue commentary: Digital game and play activity in L2 teaching and learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 18(2), 2–8. <http://lt.msu.edu/issues/june2014/commentary.pdf>
- Tan, A. R., Kilinc, M., Yildiz, M., & Balta, M. (2023). Equity and inclusion in remote education: Unearthing disparities, interrogating strategies. *SSD Journal*, 8(39), 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.31567/ssd.1012>
- Thuan, P. K. (2023). Reviewing the significance of practice in learning English as a second language. *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology*, 2(2), 62–67. <https://doi.org/10.60087/jklst.vol2.n2.p.67>
- Vallejo Balduque, B. (2018). Gamification and the affective aspects EFL students: Effects on anxiety and motivation. *Language Education and Emotions*.
- Yan, H., Zhang, H., Su, S., Lam, J. F., & Wei, X. (2022). Exploring the online gamified learning intentions of college students: A technology-learning behavior acceptance model. *Applied Sciences*, 12(24), Article 12966. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app122412966>

